IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)
MONDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA
WRIT PETITION NO : 10671 of 2004

Between:
Pendiynar Ramesh S/o Rajamurali
H.No.2-1-81, IMS Road, Pragatni Nagar, Peddapally Karimnagar District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Collector Karimnagar District
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer Peddapalli, Karimnagar District

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of MANDAMUS to Directing the respondent to consider and dispose off the Represenation dt. 2/02/2004, within two weeks from today and consequently declare the inaction of the Respondent in taking action in pursuance represenation dt. 2/02/2004 as being violative of Provision of The AP.(T.A) Tenancy Act. 1950 .

For the Petitioner:MR.B.SUDHAKAR REDDY & NEERAJA REDDY - ADVOCATES

For the Respondents.: GP FOR REVENUE

The Court at the stage of admission made the Following :

ORDER:

The present writ petition is filed seeking a direction to respondents to consider and dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner

on 2-2-2004.

It is stated in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition that father of the petitioner was the protected tenant in Survey No.947 of Raghavpur village, Peddapalli Mandal and cultivating the said land. That the land-lords Erabati Laxminarasimha Rao and others filed declaration under section 8(1) of the A.P.L.R (COAH) Act , 1973 before the Tribunal Land Reforms, Karimnagar, wherein the Tribunal passed judgment dated 3-2-1983 holding that the landlords are holding excess of ceiling limits and directed them to surrender the excess land under section 19 (1) of the A.P.L.R.Act, 1973. Thereafter all the protected tenants had approached the Mandal Revenue Officer for issuance of certificates under Section 38 E of the A.P.(T.A) Tenancy & Agricultural Lands Act, 1950, however, the said request was rejected vide proceedings dated 1-9-2003. Pursuant thereto, the petitioner made a representation on

2-2-2004 to the respondents requesting to conduct enquiry under

section 32 of the A.P.(T.A) Tenancy Act 1950 and to issue certificates under Section 38 E of the Act. The grievance of the petitioner is that no action is taken on the representation dated 2-2-2004 and the same is still remains unanswered.

Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Revenue. Both the counsel agreed for disposal of the writ

petition directing the respondents to dispose of the representation of the petitioner expeditiously.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to consider the representation stated to have been filed by the petitioner on 2-2-2004 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law expeditiously. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

28-6-2004

TVK/DA

To
1 The District Collector Karimnagar District
2 The Revenue Divisional Officer Peddapalli, Karimnagar District
3.2CCs to
4.2CD copies

Form-NIC-O

*GS/WP{**GR**}*